



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/429,522	10/28/1999	PETER C. BAHRS	AUS990339US3	AUS990339US3 6039	
7:	590 05/12/2003				
CARSTEN YEE & CAHOON LLP P O BOX 802334 PAULA, 0		•	EXAMINER		
		CESAR B			
DALLAS, TX	75380		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	÷.		2178		
		•	DATE MAILED: 05/12/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N	<u> </u>	Applicant(s)					
. ~4								
Office Action Summary	09/429,522		BAHRS ET AL.	T.)				
Office Action Guilliary	Examiner		Art Unit	•				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	CESAR B PAI		2178	2000				
Period for Reply	appears on the co	ver sneet with the t	orrespondence addr					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by soon - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, h n. a reply within the statutory eriod will apply and will exp tatute, cause the application	owever, may a reply be tir minimum of thirty (30) day ire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comr D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	15 February 2000							
, , ,	This action is nor							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims		,						
4) Claim(s) 64-82 is/are pending in the applic	cation.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>64-82</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requi	rement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	• •	•						
Applicant may not request that any objection t 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	=		• •					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in			oved by the Examiner.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	• •	action.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ZAGITIMOT.							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eian priority under	35 II S C & 110/s)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	oigh phoney under	00 0.0.0. 3 110(0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been re	ceived						
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			on No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		• •		age				
application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for a	l Bureau (PCT Rul	e 17.2(a)).						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom 								
Attachment(s)								
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No. 		_	(PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1					
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	ce Action Summary	·	Part of Part	aper No. 6				

Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the application, preliminary amendments filed on 10/28/1999, and 2/15/2002 respectively.

This action is made Non-final.

2. In the preliminary amendment, claims 1-63, and 83-380 have been canceled. Claims 64-82 are pending in the case. Claims 64, 66, 69, 77, 79, and 82 are independent claims.

Drawings

3. The drawings filed on 3/27/2000 have been approved by the draftsperson.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 66-68 recite the limitation "the plurality of containers", and "the contain in the graphical user interface" in lines 5-6, 7-8 respectively, of claim 66. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It appears that "the contain" is referring to the container, and "the plurality of containers" refers to "a container".
- 6. Claim 74 recites the limitation "a plurality of the first objects" in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It appears that "a plurality of the first objects" refers to "the first object".

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/429,522

Art Unit: 2178

7. Claims 79-81 recite the limitation "the plurality of containers", and "the contain in the graphical user interface" in lines 6, 7-8 respectively, of claim 79. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It appears that "the contain" is referring to the container, and "the plurality of containers" refers to "a container".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 64, 66-71, 73-74, 76-77, and 79-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poff et al, hereinafter Poff (Pat. # 6,330,659, 12/11/2001, filed on 11/6/1997).

Regarding independent claim 64, Poff discloses a system for creating, and displaying containers, such as windows, frames, panels, menu bars, etc, in computer display using a Java rendering engine—first object (c.4,L.42-c.5,L.27, c.16,L.6-67, fig.7, 11).

Moreover, Poff discloses the drawing, and controlling the placement of containers, such as windows in the computer display using a windows manager—second object—in response to the receipt of user inputs (c.12,L.18-67, c.14,L.10-c.15,L.67, c.19,L.1-8, fig.7, 11).

Furthermore, Poff discloses an interface for generating selection input events to the Java windows manager for the drawing, and placement of containers, such as windows in the computer display using a windows manager (c.12,L.10-67, fig.7, 11). Poff fails to explicitly

Art Unit: 2178

disclose: a third object. However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided such object, because this would have made possible the transferring of events from the computer system to the Java windows manager.

Regarding independent claim 66, Poff discloses a system for creating, and displaying containers, such as windows, frames, buttons, panels, menu bars, etc, in computer display using a Java rendering engine—view controller object (c.4,L.42-c.5,L.27, c.16,L.6-67, fig.7, 11).

Moreover, Poff discloses the drawing, and controlling the placement of containers, such as windows in the computer display using a windows manager—placement object—in response to the receipt of user inputs (c.12,L.18-67, c.14,L.10-c.15,L.67, c.19,L.1-8, fig.7, 11).

Furthermore, Poff discloses an interface for generating input events to the Java windows manager for the drawing, and placement of containers, such as windows in the computer display using a windows manager (c.12,L.10-67, fig.7, 11). Poff fails to explicitly disclose: *application mediator object*. However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided such object, because this would have made possible the transferring of events from the computer system to the Java windows manager.

Regarding claim 67, which depends on claim 66, Poff discloses a system for creating, and displaying containers, such as windows, frames, panels, menu bars, etc, in computer display using a Java rendering engine (c.4,L.42-c.5,L.27, c.16,L.6-67, fig.7, 11).

Regarding claim 68, which depends on claim 66, Poff discloses a system for creating, and displaying containers, such as windows, frames, buttons, panels, menu bars, etc, in computer display using a Java rendering engine (c.4,L.42-c.5,L.27, c.16,L.6-67, fig.7, 11).

Art Unit: 2178

Claims 69-71, 73-74 are directed towards a display mechanism for implementing the steps found in claim 66 respectively, and therefore are similarly rejected.

Regarding claim 76, which depends on claim 69, Poff discloses a system for displaying containers, such as windows, frames, buttons, panels, menu bars, etc, in response to input to the containers (c.12,L.20-67, c.19,L.1-34).

Claims 77, 79-81 are directed towards a system for implementing the steps found in claims 64, and 66-68, respectively, and therefore are similarly rejected.

Claim 82 is directed towards a computer program product for storing the steps found in claim 64, and therefore is similarly rejected.

10. Claims 65, 72, 75, and 78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poff et al, hereinafter Poff (Pat. # 6,330,659, 12/11/2001, filed on 11/6/1997), in view of "Mastering JavaBeans", Vanhelsuwe, L., Sybex, 1997, chapter 3.

Regarding claim 65, which depends on claim 64, Poff discloses a system for creating, and displaying containers, such as windows, frames, buttons, panels, menu bars, etc, in computer display using a Java rendering engine—view controller object (c.4,L.42-c.5,L.27, c.16,L.6-67, fig.7, 11).

Moreover, Poff discloses the drawing, and controlling the placement of containers, such as windows in the computer display using a windows manager—placement object—in response to the receipt of user inputs (c.12,L.18-67, c.14,L.10-c.15,L.67, c.19,L.1-8, fig.7, 11). Poff fails to explicitly disclose: a placement listener. However, Javabeans teaches the separation between event sources, and entities to act on them—listeners (p.5, L.1-30). It would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 2178

a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided such object, because this would improve the software errors, maintenance hazards, and performance as taught by Javabeans (p.4).

Furthermore, Poff discloses an interface for generating input events to the Java windows manager for the drawing, and placement of containers, such as windows in the computer display using a windows manager (c.12,L.10-67, fig.7, 11). Poff fails to explicitly disclose: *application mediator*. However, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided such object, because this would have made possible the transferring of events from the computer system to the Java windows manager.

Regarding claim 72, which depends on claim 70, Poff discloses the drawing, and controlling the placement of containers, such as windows in the computer display using a windows manager in response to the receipt of user inputs (c.12,L.18-67, c.14,L.10-c.15,L.67, c.19,L.1-8, fig.7, 11). Poff fails to explicitly disclose: —positioning object is an instance of a placement listener. However, Javabeans teaches the separation between event sources, and entities to act on them—listeners (p.5, L.1-30). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided such object, because this would improve the software errors, maintenance hazards, and performance as taught by Javabeans (p.4).

Claim 75 is directed towards a display mechanism for implementing the steps found in claim 65, and therefore are similarly rejected.

Claim 78 is directed towards a system for implementing the steps found in claim 65, and therefore are similarly rejected.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/429,522

Art Unit: 2178

Conclusion

- I. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wong et al. (Pat. # 6,175,364), Bogdan (Pat. # 6,169,546), Diedrich (Pat. # 6,064,382), Abdelnur et al. (Pat. # 6,208,994), Buxton et al. (Pat. # 6,469,714), Alcorn (Pat. # 6,556,218), and Klein (Pat. # 6,185,590).
- II. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cesar B. Paula whose telephone number is (703) 306-5543. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon, can be reached on (703) 308-5186. However, in such a case, please allow at least one business day.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this Action should be mailed to:

Director United States Patent and Trademark Office Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

- (703) 746-7238, (for After Final communications intended for entry)
- (703) 746-7239, (for Formal communications intended for entry, except formal After Final communications)

Art Unit: 2178

Or:

• (703) 746-7240, (for Informal or Draft communications for discussion only, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

CEP

5/2/03

Whenton Primary Examiner